G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

ml 36-23 B2 28

" 153-17 " 1-4

" 57-97 " 1-14

Later references.

ml 169-23 B1-2

(1923 ml)

Preserve

File No. 26-23

Serial Nos. 23, 30, 37, 1 50-51

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 76

Part B for March 28

Subject

Contribution of the Chintamani Mal towards the Water Supply Scheme of the Town.

To

The Chief Engr

" D. C. Kolar

" Comfr

No. L 6872 3 9 28 3 28

States that the claim of the Mal for the entire amount being the value of the ch pipes supplied to the PWD be allowed and the amount credited towards their share of contribution stand one

80 15/4

G. R. S. 11] 1923-24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mk)

NOTES.

File No. 26-23

FILE HEADING.

Fixing a period within which the Mk. quota of any sanctioned project should be paid

From

The Chief Engr. & Supr.

No. B 11267 Dated 11. 4. 24 Received 12/14. 4. 24.

Diary No.	5800	Enclos. — Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 23

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Brings to notice the delay on the part of the Chintamani Mk Cl in paying their quota towards the expenses of the water-supply scheme & suggests that it may be made a general rule that every municipal quota should be paid within a month from the date of sanctioning any project.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Under G.O. no. L 4657-59/Mk 36-23-8 dt 18/12/23, the Mk. Cl. of Chintamani has to pay Rs 9119-8-0 towards the additional outlay.

2) The existing rule regarding the payment of the share of Mk Cl.s in connection with any project executed

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mk File No. 26 of 1923

Serial No.

thro' the agency of the P.W.D is given in para 239 of the Mysore Mk. Account. Manual. The Chief Engr. brings to notice the practical difficulty in strictly adhering to the Departmental & Codal rules. When the existing rule viz that the amount must be recovered in advance is stricter than the suggested remedy, it is a point for orders if the suggestion could be accepted.

3) The second point regarding the grant of permission to the Ex Engr. to meet all the bills on hand from Govt share, pending adjustment on receipt of Mk contribution also requires orders.

Subd for orders

M. [illegible]
14/4/24
15

~~The [illegible] may perhaps be brought to the notice of this [illegible] Chief Engineer's letter may perhaps be sent to this~~ -

4) The situation may perhaps be brought to the notice of the Municipal Council and the Council asked to make arrangements for immediate payment of its share. The Chief Engineer wants permission to meet the pending bills, the exact amount of which

G. R. S. III-2]

5800

3)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Not File No. 26 of 1923.

Serial No.

is not stated, from govt share. There seems to be no objection in permitting him to do so. If funds are available. There seems to be no necessity for enacting a new rule in the face of an existing rule which requires payment earlier. It is not the enunciation of more rules that are required than the realisation by the local bodies of their ~~duty~~ responsibility for carrying out the existing rules

16/4

K K L Secy)

5) The municipality may be asked to speedily ~~comply~~ comply with demand in accordance with the existing rule.

17.4.24

1st member.

6) Unless the Chowtamani Municipal Council has made some reference about this. Please put up that file.

16/4

16/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet

5802 L

G..R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mt. File No. 26 of 1923.

4)

Serial No.

7) The Chintamani Mt. Cl. has not made any reference in this Connection now. But, kind reference is invited to para 4 of the Chief Engr's letter of 15/8/23, wherein it is stated that the Pt. of the Mt. Cl. has countersigned the revised estimates with a reservation.

36-23
2 Flag B.

8) Subd for orders

[illegible] 22/4/24

Mont. Fl. 153-17 with details [illegible] 22/4

9) [illegible]

28/4

10) The ~~[illegible]~~ Dy Comr. may be [illegible] to ask the President [illegible] at once.

9450
7.5.24

[illegible]
15/5

11) Please put up a draft at once

[illegible]
16/5

[illegible] 16/5/24

12) Subd. with a draft for approval.

[illegible] 16/5/24

13) Law Likely

14) Appd

[illegible] 17/5

15) [illegible] at once

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5800

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ml File No. 26 of 1923

Serial No.

30 No L 9062 dy 22/5/24. To Dc Kolar forwards an extract of Para 1, 1 & 2 of the Chief Engineer's letter and requests to submit a report in the matter. [illegible]

A Sns 26/5

VENDE SECRETARIAT.

29. MAY 1924

SELF GOVT. BRANCH

37 From Mr Dy. Comr. Kolar Distt

No H. C 831/23-24 dy 14th/15th July 24

With refrce to Sl. 30 above, submits the report on the action taken by the Presdt Chintamani Municipality in regard to the payment of their quota towards the expenditure of the water supply scheme.

1) ~~States that~~ It is seen from the copies of correspondence recd from the President that a sum of Rs 5000 was due from the Chintamani Municipality to the P. W. Water Supply Deptt Out of that sum, a sum of Rs. 2,450 was paid on 31st May 1924 by the issue of a cross cheque and the balance of Rs 2,550 was withheld on account of correspondence between the Council & the Executive Engr. Water Supply Deptt with regard to the adjustment of the cost of the old pipes which belonged to the Municipality and ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ by the Water Supply Deptt ~~from the Council's resolution that the entire cost of the old pipes~~ resolved that the ~~[illegible]~~ realisations amounting to Rs. 2,550

The Hon'l Comt have

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2810—GPB—10,000—22-5-24

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.

~~[illegible]~~

whereas the Water Supply Dept contends that half the cost should go to Govt and requests orders of Govt in the matter.

17) Subd. for orders with reference to the First Member's orders in para 11 of the notes. The file may be sent U.O. to the Ch Engr for remarks

19.7.24.

21

18) L and L decy)

1st member

20) Permission to meet the bills out of the grant may be granted.

21) I cannot understand how the WPW can contend that the municipal council is entitled to half the price of the old pipes, the other half going to govt.

22) The Hing Engineer may be unofficially consulted.

26/8

27.8

23) Forwarded unofficially to the Chief Engineer of Agra for favor of opinion on the points raised above.

By order

McLaughlin

27/8/24

NO no 240 B
28/8/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5850
4/11

G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2310—GPF—10,000—31 8-24

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ml File No. 26 of 1923.

Serial No.

33 D 22 9/24

341

23 SEP. 1924

Mr V. Narayana
23 9 24

24) Returned, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments.

25) 2. Kind reference is invited to Government Order No.15721-3/L.F.~~42~~420-92 dated the 3rd June 1898. It will be seen therefrom that half the cost of the scheme was to be borne by the Municipality and the other half by Government.

26) 3. Since half the cost of the scheme was borne by Government and half by the Municipality, Government have a claim to the credit of half the value of the old pipes.

[signature]
20.9.24

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Camp - Mysore,
20th September 1924.

HRR.

Subd for orders with reference to the First Member's order in paras 21 & 22 of the notes. The pipes are the property of the M.C. as stated by them in their resolution. Half the cost of the scheme paid by Govt is only a grant in aid & by giving this grant they do not are not entitled to any property in the materials used

24.9.24

NOTE—Contn. sh

Sech G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2810—GPB—10,000—31-5-26

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ml File No. 26 of 1923.

Serial No.

used for the water works.
Attested pages 21 [illegible].
[illegible] L. L. [illegible]
25.9.24

1st [illegible] 2) [illegible] Place
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]
5/10

Dewan 3) [illegible] for the
old scheme for the
[illegible] were purchased

[illegible]

9.10

[illegible]

2) From the G.O. no. 11613-14/L.D. 420-92 of 17/3/1898, it will be seen that the estimate for the old scheme costing Rs 20,370 and the cost was met in equal shares by the Govt & the [illegible] was sanctioned in January 1897. To finance the scheme, Govt granted a loan to the [illegible] for the purpose of meeting the moiety of the cost. If appd, detailed information will be obtained from the D.C. [illegible].

3) Subd for orders.

[illegible] 11/11/23 [illegible]

2) Please [illegible] adopt engineering early.

[illegible] 11/11

[illegible]
9. OCT 1924
No. 2826

3014
1.10.24

CD no 137
9.10.24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. III-2]

~~414~~ 475

Mun'l File No. 26 of 1923—24

Ge Secret

Serial No.

33) Draft of a letter to the D.C., Kolar is put up.

Draft may be approved for issue as the information is not available here.

14/11/24

L. L. Dey)

18/11

appd

17-11

18/11

No L3850 of 24/11/24. To D.C. Kolar. Requests 48 to forward the original papers if any regarding the old scheme of the water supply in question together with information as to who paid for the old scheme & for the pipes purchased therefor.

From the same officer No. H.C. 831/23-24 of 22/1/25. Furnishes the information called for regarding payment under the old scheme. Subs for orders 49.

34) The Public Works Department has claimed a sum of Rs 5000 as overdue to it from the Chintamani Mun'l Council in respect of works executed in connection with the improvement of water supply to the Chintamani Town. The Mun'l Council has paid Rs 2450 & has claimed a set off

NOTE—Contn.

B20

(10

Land Revenue Secretariat.

Ml File No. 26 of 1923

Serial No.

for the balance of Rs 2530, being the cost of old pipes said to have been supplied by the Council to the Deptt. The Department claims credit of half the value of the pipes as half the cost of the scheme was borne by the Govt and half by the Municipality. The Sd Ord Secy agrees with the above view.

~~with reference to the opinion~~

Notes 35) with reference to the opinion noted in paras 27 & 28 of the notes that such properties are to be regarded as belonging to the Mun'l Council, the Dewan was pleased to call for information as to who paid for the old scheme for which the pipes were purchased.

36) In forwarding copies of the resolutions of the Mun'l Coun'l of 8-5-1897 and 7-3-1898, the President of the [illegible] Mun'l Council, Chintamani states that the cost of the old project was also met in equal shares by the Mun'l & Govt.

37) The point for consideration is whether the Deptt is entitled to 1/2 the value of the pipes as claimed. or the Chintamani Mun'l Council may be given a set off for the full value of Rs 2530.

Reference para 27.

L. Wacey)

23
25/1/26

6324
[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

[illegible]

File No.	of 19	Serial No.	General and Relative Secretariat.

NOTE—Costs. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 26 of 1923

Serial No.

36 The file has the result of the Dewan who called for the [illegible]. Govt had made a free grant reserving no claims to themselves when the property became old or was sold. I am of opinion that it is not worth while claiming half the value of these [illegible] pipes

23/2/25

Dewan

37 I agree [illegible] a grant in aid given to the Municipal Council [illegible] whole of the water supply scheme was the Municipal Council & Govt had no rights [illegible] of the [illegible] purchased [illegible] Municipality [illegible] allowed

[illegible]

38 [illegible]

Draft filed

3/3/25

The draft may be in the form of [illegible]. Can [illegible] bring up

NOTE—Contd.

[illegible] OFFICE
24 FEB. 1925
Reg. No. 6210

12/

G.R.B. III-81 File No. 26 of 1923 4752

General and Revenue Secretariat

A modified draft is subd for orders

Typed Opt. Subd.

9/3/25

Draft submitted in accordance with paras 36 & 37 of the notes.

12/3

Draft might be appd

12/3

Approved

17/3

17/3

Serial No.

Manufactured as revised & pro-rep. early

11/3

7420

16/3

Recd 6871-3 of 28.3.25. To chief Engr, D.C. Kolen, compt. Directs that the claim of the Nat. for the entire amount of Rs 2530 be allowed into amount credited towards their share of contribution [illegible]

GENERAL & REVENUE

31 MAR 1925

31/3

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

32099

13

WD 1378—GPB—5,000—25-1-22

No. B.11267

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore..11th...April......1924

L

12 APR 1924

ml 26-23—23

CENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
5800/2
12 APR 1924
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

M J. Bhore, Esq., A.M.I.C.E.,
XXXXXXXXXXXEsq.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

To

R
14/4/24

B. Nagappa,Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the Executive Engine[er] Water Supply Construction Division reports that in spite of freque[nt] requisitions issued to the President of the Municipality, Chinta[mani] Town, it has not yet paid its quotas for the water supply scheme. This has contributed much to the lack of progress on works on the hand and the non-settlement of contractor's claims on the other.

2. For the past two months the Executive Engineer has not been able to discharge the heavy bills of the contractors for the water supply to the town purely for want of funds under contribution. Whe[n] payments are not ensured, neither progress can be ensured nor lapse of grant avoided. Very little can be done during the remaining 3 months of the year and major portion of the grant under 30 Grant[s] for Public Improvements is likely to lapse.

3. Further it is also impossible to enforce the terms of the contract bond in regard to the monthly rate of progress and the date of completion of the work, so long as the works measured remain unpaid It will in the long run, afford a handle for the contractors to put in their claims for higher rates, &c., when the works extend beyond dates specified in the bonds.

121
14

4. If according to the Departmental and Codal rules, the works are not commenced prior to the realisation of contribution, the Division has to idle away its time waiting to receive the municipal quota and lose the opportune seasonal conditions for the prompt execution of the works.

5. The only possible remedy seems to be that when a project is sanctioned it should be stipulated that the Municipal quota should be paid up within a month from the date of sanction to the project. (i)

5. As for the present bills on hand; the Executive Engineer may be permitted to pay them meeting the full amount from the Government share pending adjustment on receipt of Municipal contribution. (ii)

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

10.11.24

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

V.W.S.

C. R. S. VI—G/32]

Immediate

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 5800

Section Mil.
File No. 26-23
Serial No. 30

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192

No. L 4062
Encone

Date of issue 22/5/24

Signed by ...

To
The Dy Commr
Kolar District

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith an extract of paras 1 & 2 of letter No B 11267 dy the 11th April 1924 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore bringing to the notice of Govt the delay on the part of the Chintamani Municipl Council in paying their quota towards the expenses of the water supply scheme in spite of frequent requisitions and to request you to kindly ~~instruct~~ advise the President of the ~~Municipl~~ Council to adjust the amount immediately. A report on the action taken by the President may be

WD 0682 - GPB—1800C—16-9 23

16

Kindly forwarded for the information of Govt. The matter may be treated as very urgent

I have &c

1197

17/5 L & L Secy. to Govt

16/5 [illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

17

No. 04049
Encs. Hc 831 Ex 5.

From

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated Kolar, the 14th July 1924

15.

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR DISTRICT.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
L414
17. JUL. 1924
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

17. JUL 1924

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

Ml 26-23-37

With reference to your letter No:L.9062/Ml.26-23-30 dated 22-5-1924 calling for a report on the action taken by the President, Chintamani Municipality, in regard to the payment of their quota towards the expenditure of thewater supply scheme, I have the honor to forward herewith the President's letter No:8/24-25 dated 4-7-1924 together with its enclosures for information.

2. It will be seen from the copies of correspondence received with above that a sum of Rs 5,000 was due from the Municipality to the Public works Water supply Department. Out of that sum a sum of Rs 2,450 was paid on 31-5-24 by the issue of a cross cheque No:37 and the balance of Rs 2,550 was withheld on account of correspondence between the Council and the Executive Engineer, Water Supply Department with regard to the adjustment of the cost of the old pipes.

3. It will be seen further from the Council's resolution that the entire cost of the old pipes amounting to Rs 2,550 is claimed by them; whereas the watersupply Department ~~with regard to the adjustment of the cost of the old pipes~~ contends that half the cost should go to Government. Hence the adjustment of the balance of Rs 2,550 by the Municipality depends upon the final settlement of the aforesaid claims in dispute.

4. I request therefore that orders of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter early.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[signature]
Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
H.Q. Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dist.

18

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 414

Section. 2nd
File No. 26-23
Serial No. 48

General and Revenue Secretariat
, the (13-9) 192

No 23850

Date of issue 24/11/24

Signed by [signature]

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No. H.C. 831/23-24, dated the 14th July 1924, regarding the cost of adjustment of the Water Supply Scheme of Chintamani Town in the Kolar District, I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward the original papers, if any, regarding the old scheme of the Water Supply in question, together with the information as to who paid for the ~~pipes originally purchased~~. I have etc.,

Old Scheme & for the pipes purchased therefor

[signature] over.
~~Secy. to Government.~~
L.L. Dept.

[signature]

[signature] 14/11/24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD [illegible]—OFB—[illegible]

32039

No. H.C.831/23-24
Encs. three

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

19

Dated Kolar, the 22nd January *192* 5.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR DISTRICT.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
4752
26 JAN. 1925
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

EV. SECTT.
JAN 1925
ECTION

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
Local & Legislative DEPARTMENTS,
BANGALORE.

26-23-1

With reference to your letter No:L.3850/M1.26-23-48 dated 24-11-24, calling for the original papers relating to the old Scheme of Water supply to Chintamani town ~~and~~ &c., I have the honor to forward herewith the Chintamani Municipal President's No:243/24-25 dated 13-1-25 together with its enclosures in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

C Ramachandraiah,
Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.
(absent from station).

V.V.R.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R E A D.-

1. G.O. No. L.4657-59/M1.36-23-8 dated the 18-12-23, sanctioning revised estimates for the water supply scheme to Chintamani Town for Rs.59,000 the ~~extra~~ cost ~~therein involved~~ being met by the Government and Municipal Council in equal shares as in the case of the original estimates.

2. Letter No. B.11267, dated the 11th April 1924 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore, bringing to the notice of Government the non-payment by the Municipal Council of Chintamani of their share of cost and the consequent lack of progress in the work and the non-settlement of the contractor's claims.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. H.C.831, dated the 14th July 1924, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, stating that out of the sum of Rs. 5,000 due from the Municipal Council to the Public Works Department, a sum of Rs. 2,450 was paid to them on 31-5-1924 and the balance of Rs. 2,550 was withheld on account of some correspondence regarding the adjustment of cost of some old pipes which had been supplied by the Municipal Council for the work and

that the Municipal Council claim that the entire cost thereof should be - - taken credit towards their share of - cost.

4. Un-official Note of the Chief Engineer of Mysore, dated the 20th -- September 1924, stating that the Government have a claim to half the value of the old pipes on the ground -- that half the cost of the original -- scheme was also borne by Government.

5. Further correspondence ending with letter No. H.C.831, dated the -- 22nd January 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, on the - - subject.

Order No. L 687/-3

Bangalore, 28th March 1925.

As the Water Supply Scheme was - undertaken by the Municipal Council - and the Government made only a free grant-in-aid to the Municipal Council without reserving any claim to themselves either when the property became old or was sold, they are of - - opinion that it will not be proper to claim at present half the value of -- the old pipes.

They therefore direct that the - claim of the Municipal Council for -- the entire amount of Rs. 2,550, being the value of the pipes supplied to -- the Public Works Department, be allowed and the amount credited towards --

their share of contribution still due.

12/3 L & L. Secy. to Government.

To

The Ch. Engr., of Mysore.

The D.C., Kolar Dist. with records.

The Comptr., to Government.

E.W.M.